



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,630	06/24/2003	Joseph B. Agusta	P5087C1	2917
24739	7590	11/03/2006	EXAMINER	
CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY, INC 3 HANGAR WAY SUITE D WATSONVILLE, CA 95076			NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/603,630		AGUSTA, JOSEPH B.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Quynh H. Nguyen		2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 29-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 29-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. Applicant's amendment filed 8/28/06 has been entered. Claims 29-36 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 29-36 are still pending in this application, with claims 29, 31, 33, and 35 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 29, 31, 33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sassin et al. (U.S. Patent. 6,058,435).

Regarding claims 29 and 31, Sassin et al. disclose a method of assigning tasks to agents in a service center based on agent skills required to service individual tasks, comprising:

in response to a task (col. 7, line 20 - *incoming communication*) to be service, determining a skill set that would be best suited for responding to the task (col. 3, lines 33-36; col. 7, lines 25-36 and lines 56-59);

building a skill table of available agents based upon the skills that they possessed (col. 7, lines 25-35; col. 8, lines 9-11 and lines 57-65);

Art Unit: 2614

determining from the skill table of available agents all agents with best match to service the task (col. 8, line 57 through col. 9, line 14);

selecting an agent to service the task from the agents determined to have the best match to service the task (col. 3, lines 33-36; col. 9, lines 11-14; col. 10, lines 2-5).

Claims 33 and 35 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Sassin et al. teach computer program code embodied in a storage medium for controlling a computer to assign tasks to agents (col. 11, lines 40-67; col. 13, lines 49-60 - *media converter 106, content analyzer 108, content-based router 110*).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 30, 32, 34, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sassin et al. (U.S. Patent. 6,058,435).

Regarding claims 30, 32, 34, and 36, Sassin et al. teaches selecting an agent to service the task from the agents determined to have the best match to service the task (col. 3, lines 33-36; col. 9, lines 11-14; col. 10, lines 2-5; col. 8, line 66 through col. 9, line 65 - *where Sassin discussed selecting the first agent who is the only agent possesses skill C to handle calls requesting skill A or skill B when there is no transaction requesting skill C*). However, Sassin et al. does not explicitly teach selecting an agent with a minimum qualification level from the agents determined to have the best match to service the task. Selecting an agent with a minimum qualification among the agents is well known in Automatic Call Distributing Center and

Art Unit: 2614

the advantage of selecting an agent with a minimum qualification among the agents is also well known. For example, if agent A1 speaks English and Spanish and agent A2 speaks only Spanish, a task needs to be serviced is Spanish then one would select agent A2 with a minimum qualification level.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/28/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that "the skills required for servicing the call are determined from the incoming communication then building a resume table of available agents and organizing the skill table". Examiner respectfully submits that there is nowhere in the claims that recite building resume table of available agents in response to the incoming communication.

Applicant argues that "creating a table of all of the agents that are a best match for servicing the task". Again, Examiner respectfully submits that there is nowhere in the claims that recite creating a table of all of the agents.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2614

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan, can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/603,630

Page 6

Art Unit: 2614


Quynh H. Nguyen

November 3, 2006